$\overline{}$			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability		10/083,828	MUHLESTEIN, MARK
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Frantz Coby	2161
her NO of t	The MAILING DATE of this communication applications being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS Is ewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-88 TICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.37	S (OR REMAINS) CLOSED ir 5) or other appropriate commu RIGHTS. This application is s 13 and MPEP 1308.	this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due course. THIS
_	∠ This communication is responsive to <u>communication filed</u> ∠     ∠     ∠     ∠     ∠     ∠     ∠     √	i on February 27, 2002.	
2. [	☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-25</u> .		
3. [	$\overline{\boxtimes}$ The drawings filed on <u>27 February 2002</u> are accepted by	the Examiner.	
A no. T T 5. [	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority a)  All b) Some* c) None of the:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * Certified copies not received:  pplicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE of the below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDON HIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.  A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be substituted in the priority of the priorit	we been received. we been received in Application occuments have been received. To of this communication to file MENT of this application.  mitted. Note the attached EXA ves reason(s) why the oath or just be submitted. rson's Patent Drawing Review. T's Amendment / Comment or 1.84(c)) should be written on the the header according to 37 CF osit of BIOLOGICAL MATE	n No  If in this national stage application from the areply complying with the requirements  MINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF declaration is deficient.  If (PTO-948) attached  in the Office action of the drawings in the front (not the back) of R 1.121(d).  ERIAL must be submitted. Note the
1. [2 2. [ 3. [2	achment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No./Mail Date 02-27-02 Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	) 6. ☐ Interview St Paper No./ /08), 7. ☐ Examiner's	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Immary (PTO-413),  Mail Date  Amendment/Comment  Statement of Reasons for Allowance
			Frantz Coby Primary Examiner Art Unit 2161

The

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-37 (Rev. 1-04) Art Unit: 2161

This is in response to application filed on February 27, 2002 in which claims 1-25 are presented for examination.

## Status of Claims

Claims 1-25 are pending.

## Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statements filed on August 08, 2003; May 29, 2003; and February 27, 2002 are in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609. They have been placed in the application file and the information referred to therein has been considered as to the merits.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims are allowable over the prior art of record.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The present invention is directed to a technique for providing an enhanced level of indirection to a resource attached to a destination. The closest prior art [Bober U.S. Patent no. 6,718,372] discloses a method and apparatus for providing access by a first computing system to data stored in a shared storage device managed by a second computing system. However, Bober failed to show the claimed feature of "comparing a content of a widelink directive with first parts of widelink entries stored in a translation

Application/Control Number: 10/083,828

Art Unit: 2161

table of a first storage system, the widelink directive generated and sent by a client to the first storage system within a first request to access the resource; substituting the content of the widelink directive with a second part of a matching widelink entry indicating a correct path to the destination; and establishing a connection from the client to the destination over the correct path to thereby provide the enhanced level of direction that enables access by the client to the <u>requested resource</u>". These claimed features being present in independent claims 1, 20 and 23 render claims 1-6 and 20-25 allowable over the prior art of record. Bober also failed to show the claimed limitations of "a widelink directive generated by a first computer and sent to the first storage system for storage in the memory, the widelink directive having a content defined as an original path descriptor to a resource; and a widelink entry structure adapted for storage in a symlink translation table of the memory, the widelink entry structure having a multiplepart format, wherein a first part of the widelink entry is compared with the content of the widelink directive and, if a match is found, the content of the directive is substituted with a second part of the widelink to enable access to the resource on a second storage system". These claimed features being present in independent claim 7 render claims 7-16 allowable over the prior art of record. Further, Bober failed to show the claimed feature of "a memory organized as storage locations to store data structures, including a translation table having a plurality of entries; an operating system resident in the memory, the operating system including special code configured to access the translation table in response to a widelink directive

special code further configured to provide a correct path to the resource attached to the remotely configured destination in response to the content of the widelink directive matching a widelink entry of the translation table. These claimed features being present in independent claim 17 render claims 17-19 allowable over the prior art of record.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frantz Coby whose telephone number is 571 272 4017. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Saturday 3:00PM-10:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 571 272 4023. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,828 Page 5

Art Unit: 2161

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Frantz Coby
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2161

April 25, 2005